

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/797,083	03/11/2004	Yasuhiko Kuriyama	250316US2 4375			
22850	22850 7590 07/19/2005			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			CHOE, HENRY			
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2817	:		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>.</del>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/797,08	3	KURIYAMA			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Henry K. C	Choe	2817			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation.  ays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and wil, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				•			
1)[[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>3/11/04</i>					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
· · ·		.li a adi a a					
•	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction	and/or election red	uirement				
•		and/or oloodon roq	an omone.				
	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the E		<del></del>	_			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	<u>-</u>	foreign priority und	for 35     S C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
,—,—							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		u III tilis National	Clago		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies hot received.							
Attachment	(e)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:					O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/797,083

Art Unit: 2817

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Election/Restriction

# This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

<u>Species</u>	Figure(s).		
Ī	5, 6		
11	9, 10		
III	12		
IV	14		

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2817

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Eckhard Kuesters on 7/15/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1760.

HENRY CHOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER